



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

- APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,791 04/21/2004		04/21/2004	Constance E. Roshdy	ETH-1608 (DIV)	5078	
27614	7590	04/08/2005		EXAMINER		
MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP				MOHANDE	MOHANDESI, JILA M	
	FOUR GATEWAY CENTER 100 MULBERRY STREET				PAPER NUMBER	
NEWARK,		<del></del>	3728			

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office	Action Cummans	10/828,791	ROSHDY, CONSTANCE E.				
Опісе	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jila M Mohandesi	3728				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. as be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13. S from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsiv	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clair	ns						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u>	⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) 9 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) _	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
<b>Application Papers</b>							
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant m	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) I he oath or	declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
÷							
Attachment(s)	O'(- 4 (DTO 000)	<del></del>	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draftspers	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/828,791

Art Unit: 3728

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 9 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,691,868. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because patent '868 claims a more specific embodiment than the instant application. Once the applicant has received a patent for a species or a more specific embodiment, he is not entitled to a patent for the generic or broader invention, because the more specific "anticipates" the broader. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10-12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3728

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are foldable packages analogous to applicant's instant invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JILA M. MOHANDESI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

· M.M

JMM April 05, 2005